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Abstract:

Introduction: Food safety is a public health priority. Though contamination of food could occur at any point from production to consumption, a substantial proportion of foodborne diseases occur due to inadequate food safety practices at home. This study was undertaken to assess food safety practices in rural households in the field practice area of our college and identify any association between food safety practices and occurrence of food-borne illness in the family. Materials and methods: This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study conducted among woman above thirty years of age attending the rural training and health centre of a medical college in Kancheepuram district between January to March 2016. A pre-tested schedule was used to obtain information of foodborne illness in the family in the past three months and food safety practices at home. The results were tabulated and appropriate statistical tests performed. Results: Among the 100 women participated, 28% reported that at least one person in their family has suffered from foodborne illness in the past three months. Significant statistical association was identified between occurrence of food-borne illness and absence of separate kitchen, inadequate hand washing practices, storing food for more than 1 day, reheating of stored food and housefly breeding in household (p < 0.05). Conclusion: This study highlighted inadequacies in food safety at household level and the association with foodborne illnesses and need for similar studies in different parts of the country. The information obtained will help us develop an effective health education programme appropriate to target population.
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Introduction:
Food safety is an issue of major public health relevance and has been recognised by the World Health Organisation (WHO) as the theme for World Health Day, 2015.1 Unsafe food is associated with a wide range of health problems: diarrhoeal diseases, viral diseases, reproductive and developmental problems and cancers.1 The WHO initiative to estimate global burden of food-borne diseases identified 600 million food-borne illnesses caused by 31 classified food-borne hazards in 2010.2 Foodborne and waterborne diarrhoeal
diseases kill an estimated 2,000,000 people worldwide every year. The most common nature of foodborne illness was diarrhoeal diseases. The World Health Day 2015 theme “Food safety: from farm to plate” directs the focus on the entire food chain from production to consumption. Though contamination of food could occur at any point from production to consumption, with food producers and distributors primarily responsible for food safety, a substantial proportion of foodborne diseases occur due to unsafe food handling practices at home and food service establishments. While supply-side issues are regulated by national guidelines and codes of practice, the consumer-side issues require understanding and addressing the cultural and behavioural factors that influence food handling practices.

Materials and methods:

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study conducted between January and March 2016 in a rural area of Kancheepuram district in Tamil Nadu, India. All married women above 30 years attending the rural health and training centre of a medical college in Kancheepuram District during the study period were considered under study population. Women who were not actively involved in food preparation at home and those not willing to participate were excluded from the study. A pretested schedule in the local language was administered to the participants after obtaining informed consent. The schedule consisted of 3 parts: sociodemographic details, details on the occurrence of foodborne illness in any of the family member in the past 3 months and food handling practices. An episode of foodborne illness was defined as occurrence of one or more of the following symptoms – diarrhoea, abdominal pain and vomiting with or without fever, diagnosed and treated by a physician as foodborne illness and strongly assumed to be related to intake of home-cooked food in the past 3 months. The responses were recorded in Microsoft Office Excel 2007 and statistical analysis was performed using WinPepi software, version 11.25. The sociodemographic variables and food safety characteristics were expressed in frequencies and percentage. Cross-tabulation was done to compare the occurrence of food-borne illness with food safety characteristics. Chi-square test was used to assess significance of association of categorical variables. Fisher’s exact P value of used if any of the cells contained value less than 5. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results:

A nation-wide survey in India reported a 13% prevalence of food-borne illness in the previous fortnight. Estimates of foodborne illness from various studies report that a wide range of these episodes (9 to 95%) are attributed to food handling errors at home. But population-based attitude surveys reveal that only 8 to 12% of the general population believe that they could be at risk of developing foodborne illness from home prepared foods. There is a definite paucity of studies assessing household food safety practices in India. This study was undertaken to assess the food safety practices in rural households in the field practice area of our college and identify any association between food safety practices and occurrence of food-borne illness in the family.

Table 1 summarises the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants.
Table 1: Socio-demographic profile of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency(Percentages)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 30 years</td>
<td>36 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 40 years</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 40 years</td>
<td>16 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher secondary</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupational status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed</td>
<td>78 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labourer</td>
<td>22 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socioeconomic status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>51 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>49 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of family</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint family</td>
<td>37 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear family</td>
<td>63 (63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* based on Modified B G Prasad classification

Figure 1: Proportion of families with atleast one episode of food-borne illness in the previous 3 months

Twenty-eight percent of the participants reported that atleast one person in their family has suffered from food-borne illness in the past three months.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Occurrence of food borne illness</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of kitchen</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate kitchen</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14 (16.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No separate kitchen</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of drinking water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tap water (Panchayat source)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>26 (27.9)</td>
<td>0.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well water</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of boiled water for drinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9 (25.7)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>19 (29.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency of purchase of vegetables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12 (26.1)</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16 (29.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hand-washing before cooking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12 (16.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16 (59.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washing vegetables before cooking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27 (31)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking daily</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Storing food for more than 1 day</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18 (52.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Refrigeration</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Native storage method</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>10 (15.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reheating of food items</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17 (51.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11 (16.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hand-washing before eating by family members</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13 (21.7)</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Habit of taking outside food</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>22 (27.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House-fly breeding inside the kitchen</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12 (80)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16 (18.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among those who wash their hands before the cooking process, only 7% (5 out of 73) use soap for hand-washing. Only 8% of those who wash vegetables before cooking use running water for washing, while the rest 92% use stored water for washing raw vegetables.

**Discussion:**

A total of 100 women participated in the study. This study provided insights into the common practices with regard to food handling and cooking among the study population. Fourteen percent of our study participants reported not having separate kitchen in their households. Only 35% of the households used boiled water for drinking. Twenty-seven percent reported not washing hands before cooking and 13% never washed vegetables before cooking. Thirty-three percent of the households reheated food items. The most important and commonest habit of washing hands before eating was not routinely practised in 40% of the households. Subba Rao et al. in their focus group studies among mothers in South India reported better food safety practices. Twenty-eight percent of the participants reported at least one episode of foodborne illness in their family during a period of three months prior to the study. Significant statistical association was identified between occurrence of food-borne illness and absence of separate kitchen, practices such as not washing hands before cooking, storage of food for more than 1 day, reheating of stored food and housefly breeding in the household (p <0.05). There is a paucity of studies assessing the prevalence of foodborne illness and household food safety practices in India, which makes comparison with other populations difficult.

Alrabadi et al report more satisfactory hand washing and food storage practices than our study population. Improper food storage practices and poor personal hygiene have been reported to have contributed to majority of food-borne diseases in United States between the years 1983 and 1992. Our study has a few limitations. The sample size was limited. The information on foodborne illness and food safety practices was self-reported, hence there could be a possibility of responder bias. The study was restricted to the household food safety practices. The knowledge and attitude of the participants related to food safety practices and consumer’s purchasing behaviour which is another important factor in causation of foodborne illnesses was not explored. Microbial analysis of the raw and cooked food would have assisted in revealing any association between unsafe food handling practices and microbial growth.

Though this descriptive data appears preliminary, it nonetheless gives a brief overview of the state of food safety practices in rural India and highlights the need for similar studies in different parts of the country. Health education materials and campaigns on food-borne illnesses have mainly focussed on food safety in restaurants and choosing safe and hygienic eateries and foods. Home-made foods are often assumed to be safe. The information obtained from this study would help in understanding the food safety practices among the study population and develop an effective health education programme appropriate to the target population. A future broader study including assessment of detailed purchasing practices with regard to safer foods with microbiological analysis has been planned.

**Conclusion:**

This study highlights inadequacies in food safety at household level and the association with food-borne illnesses especially in rural areas. Hence it is imperative that we adopt a comprehensive approach to food safety including the essential link in farm-to-plate chain, safe food preparation and consumption practices at household level. It is also important that the information given to the people is backed by credible scientific rationale and they are empowered with the means and resources to adopt food safety practices.
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